



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/006,538	11/30/2001	Huy P. Nguyen	PALM-3778	9994
7590 08/11/2004 ´			EXAMINER.	
WAGNER, MURABITO & HAO LLP			FOULADI SEMNANI, FARANAK	
Two North Market Street Third Floor San Jose, CA 95113			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2674	13
			DATE MAILED: 08/11/2004	1)

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		·				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commons	10/006,538	NGUYEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Faranak Fouladi	2674				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a rolly within the statutory minimum of thin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON e. cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 A	<u>April 2004</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 April 2002 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination 	a)⊠ accepted or b)□ object drawing(s) be held in abeyart ction is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s	summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 10/006,538

Art Unit: 2674

DETAILED ACTION

 This action is responsive to communications: application, filed on 11/30/01; IDS, filed on 5/23/03 and Amendment A, filed on 12/01/03; RCE and Amendment B filed on 04/16/04.

- 2. Claims 1-20 are pending in the case, with claims 1, 8 and 16 being independent.
- 3. The present title of the application is "Handheld computer having moveable segments that are interactive with an integrated display" (as originally filed).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 A person shall be entitled to a patent unless —
 (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claim 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Iwata et al. U.S Patent 6,535,749 (hereafter, Iwata).

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/006,538

Art Unit: 2674

- 6. Regarding Independent claim 1, "a handheld computer comprising: a processor module comprising a processor and a display [Iwata disclose in col. 13 line 7 and line 12-15 and in Fig. 3]; a sliding display cover moveably coupled to said processor module [Iwata disclose in col. 8 line 35]; a sensing device coupled to said processor module and to said sliding display cover for providing geometric information indicating a relative position of said display with respect to an edge of said sliding display cover, wherein said geometric information is provided for a plurality of positions [Iwata disclose in col. 5 line 63-64]; and, a device driver for performing an action in response to a signal, wherein said action is selected based upon the position of said edge relative to said display." [Iwata discloses in col. 5 lines 64-67].
- 7. Regarding dependent claim 2, "the handheld computer of claim 1, wherein said action is a visual configuration of said display." Iwata disclose in col. 5 lines 63-64.
- 8. Regarding dependent claim 3, "the handheld computer of claim 1, further comprising a wireless transmitter, and wherein said action is an initiation of communication with another device using said wireless transmitter."
 lwata disclose in Fig. 3 a "radio transmission" which is interpreted to be "wireless transmitter" and further lwata disclose "wherein said action is the initiation of communication with another device using said wireless transmitter." in col. Col. 1

Application/Control Number: 10/006,538

Art Unit: 2674

line 42-56 by stating "Telephone keyboard 6 for dialing keys is placed on the top of cover 7 installed on a mobile information terminal equipment body 1.

Electronic note Keyboard 8 for character data input keys is installed from the back of cover 7 to the area cove-red by cover 7. A telephone mode and an electronic note mode are switched based on the output from a cover switch 9, which detects the opened/closed status of cover 7. When the cover is closed, the telephone mode is set, enabling the user to use the equipment as a regular mobile telephone. Meanwhile, the electronic note mode is set as the cover is opened, thus allowing the user to use it as an ordinary electronic note."

- Regarding dependent claim 4, "the handheld computer of claim 1, further comprising a wireless transmitter, and wherein said action is an initiation of communication with an external device, using said wireless transmitter." Iwata disclose in col.1 line 42-56.
- 10. Regarding dependent claim 5, "the handheld computer of claim 1, wherein said sensing device is a non-contact sensor device." Iwata disclose in col. 28 lines 59-60 by stating "a means for detecting the door opened/closed" and therefore sensing device could be a non-contact sensor device.

Application/Control Number: 10/006,538 Page 5

Art Unit: 2674

- 11. Regarding dependent claim 6, "the handheld computer of claim 1, wherein said display is a touch panel display forming a part of said sensing device." Iwata disclose in col. 29 lines 63-65.
- 12. Regarding dependent claim 7, "the handheld computer of claim 1, wherein said sliding cover comprises an input device coupled to said processor module." Iwata disclose in col. 1 line 46-48.
- 13. Claims 8 -10 recite method steps performed by the apparatus of claims 1 and 3; therefore they are similar in scope and rejected under the same rationale.
- 14. Regarding dependent claim 11, "a method as described in claim 8 wherein said action is a display of related additional information to said portion of said information." Iwata disclose in col. 7 lines 34-42 and col. 54-58.
- 15. Regarding dependent claim 12, "a method as described in claim 8 wherein said selection device is a key." Iwata disclose in col. 8 lines 31-35.
- 16. Regarding dependent claim 13, "a method as described in claim 8 wherein said sliding cover comprises a keyboard." Iwata disclose in col. 1 line 46-48 and col.22 lines 64-65.

Application/Control Number: 10/006,538 Page 6

Art Unit: 2674

17. Regarding dependent claim 14, "a method as described in claim 8 wherein said sliding cover further comprises a microphone." Iwata disclose in col. 8 lines 11-16.

- 18. Regarding dependent claim 15, "a method as described in claim 8 wherein said sliding cover further comprises a speaker." Iwata disclose in col. 8 lines 11-16.
- 19. Claims 16-20 recite a computer readable medium containing executable instructions for executing the method of claims 8-11. It is inherent to have a medium configured to store or transport computer readable code in a computer system. For example compact disc has been included and used in the computer systems since 1990s or magnetic data storage devices have been used since 1980s. Also Iwata disclose a software application included in his handheld computer in col. 30 lines 58 col. 31 line 10.

Response to Arguments

- 20. The 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph rejection is withdrawn.
- 21. Applicant's arguments filed 04/16/04 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 22. Applicant argues on page 12 "...lwata does not teach or recite sensing the relative position of a display with respect to the edge of a sliding display cover..."

 lwata discloses in col. 12 lines 37-40 "...cover 7 moves (slides) up and down

Application/Control Number: 10/006,538

Art Unit: 2674

along the plane surface on the drawing shown in FIG. 1 and FIG. 2." and further disclose in col. 12 lines 45-50 "... as a location detector for detecting a location of cover 7, a cover switch 9 is employed. Cover switch 9 detects the opened/closed status of cover 7, and if the cover is in the closed status, telephone mode is set." The location detector as illustrated in Fig. 2 is on the edge of the sliding cover and therefore indicates the relative position of display with respect to the edge of sliding display cover.

Applicant further argues on page 12, "...Iwata does not teach or suggest sensing the relative position of a display with any portion of a cover but merely whether the cover is in an open or closed position." Since "relative position of said display with respect to an edge of said sliding display cover" is a broad limitation it includes open and closed position as well.

Applicant continues on page 12, "... Iwata does not teach or suggest selecting an action based upon the position of the edge of the sliding cover relative to the display." Examiner disagrees because Iwata selects to set the information terminal mode (an action) or to set the telephone mode (another action) based upon the position of the edge of the sliding cover relative to the display (col. 12 lines 47-50).

23. Regarding claim 2, applicant argues on page 13, "...lwata does not teach or suggest limitation recited in claim 2 of a handheld computer which performs a visual configuration of the display in response to a signal and based upon the

Application/Control Number: 10/006,538

Art Unit: 2674

position of the edge of the sliding display cover relative to the display." Examiner disagrees because Iwata disclose visual configuration of the display in response to setting the mobile information terminal equipment to a mobile telephone by exposing only the bottom part of the display for use in col. 12 lines 51-55.

24. Regarding claim 3, applicant argues on page 14, "... Iwata does not teach or suggest the claim limitation recited in claim 3 of a wireless transmitter which initiates communication with another device in response to a signal and wherein the initiation is selected based upon the position of the edge of a sliding display cover relative to the display."

Iwata disclose in Fig. 3 a "radio transmission" which is interpreted to be "wireless transmitter" and further Iwata disclose "wherein said action is the initiation of communication with another device using said wireless transmitter." in col. Col. 1 line 42-56 by stating "Telephone keyboard 6 for dialing keys is placed on the top of cover 7 installed on a mobile information terminal equipment body 1.

Electronic note Keyboard 8 for character data input keys is installed from the back of cover 7 to the area cove-red by cover 7. A telephone mode and an electronic note mode are switched based on the output from a cover switch 9, which detects the opened/closed status of cover 7. When the cover is closed, the telephone mode is set, enabling the user to use the equipment as a regular mobile telephone. Meanwhile, the electronic note mode is set as the cover is opened, thus allowing the user to use it as an ordinary electronic note."

Application/Control Number: 10/006,538

Art Unit: 2674

- 25. Regarding claim 4, applicant argues on page 15, "...Iwata does not teach or suggest the claim limitation recited in claim 4 of a wireless transmitter which initiates communication with an external device in response to a signal and wherein the initiation is selected based upon the position of the edge of a sliding display cover relative to the display." Response is similar to above response for claim 3 and furthermore, external device could be another mobile telephone and initiating communication could be dialing the phone number to the other mobile telephone.
- 26. Regarding claim 5, applicant argues on page 16, "...Iwata does not teach or suggest the claim limitation recited in claim 5 of a handheld computer having a non-contact sensor device which provides geometric information indicating a relative position of the edge of the sliding display cover relative to the display." Iwata disclose in col. 28 lines 59-60 by stating "a means for detecting the door opened/closed" and therefore sensing device could be a non-contact sensor device.
- 27. Regarding claim 6, applicant argues on page 16, "...Iwata does not teach or suggest the claim limitation recited in claim 6 of a handheld computer having a touch panel display forming a part of said sensing device." Examiner disagrees

Application/Control Number: 10/006,538

Art Unit: 2674

because Iwata disclose in col. 29 lines 63-65 a touch screen for detecting a user's touch input.

- 28. Regarding claim 7, applicant argues on page 17, "...Iwata does not teach or suggest the claim limitation recited in claim 7 of a handheld computer having a sliding cover comprising an input device coupled to a processor module."

 Examiner disagrees because Iwata disclose in col. 1 line 44- 55 "telephone keyboard 6 for dialing keys is placed on the top of cover 7 installed on a mobile information terminal equipment body 1. Electronic note Keyboard 8 for character data input keys is installed from the back of cover 7 to the area cove-red by cover 7. A telephone mode and an electronic note mode are switched based on the output from a cover switch 9, which detects the opened/closed status of cover 7. When the cover is closed, the telephone mode is set, enabling the user to use the equipment as a regular mobile telephone. Meanwhile, the electronic note mode is set as the cover is opened, thus allowing the user to use it as an ordinary electronic note."
- 29. There is a numbering error on page 18 line 23 of amendment; "Claim 7 also overcomes..." should read "Claim 8 also overcomes..."
- 30. Regarding the arguments presented for claims 8-15, applicant has repeated the claims limitations following the statement "Iwata does not teach or suggest the claim limitation recited in claim". Applicant has not provided a strong argument to show the differences between the prior art of the record, Iwata, and the claimed

Application/Control Number: 10/006,538 Page 11

Art Unit: 2674

invention as claimed in claims 8-15. Therefore claims 8-15 are still rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e).

31. Regarding claim 16, applicant argues on page 25, "... Iwata only teaches sensing whether a cover is in an open or closed position. In other words, Iwata teaches determining an absolute position status (open or closed) rather than determining the relative position of the cover with reference to the processor module." Iwata disclose in col. 5 lines 60-67 "...a location detector for detecting a location of the slide cover, and a display switch for changing a size of the display area for displaying information and a displaying direction of information according to the location of the slide cover detected by the location detector."

Also, "the relative position" is a broad limitation and can include open/close positions as well.

32. Regarding the arguments presented for claims 17-20, applicant has repeated the claims limitations following the statement "Iwata does not teach or suggest the claim limitation recited in claim". Applicant has not provided a strong argument to show the differences between the prior art of the record, Iwata, and the claimed invention as claimed in claims 17-20. Therefore claims 17-20 are still rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e).

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/006,538

Art Unit: 2674

33. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Faranak Fouladi** whose telephone number is **703-305-3223.** The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri from 8:00-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Richard Hjerpe**can be reach at **(703) 305-4709**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, DC. 20231

Or faxed to: 703-872-9306 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, sixth-floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is 703-306-0377.

Faranak Fouladi-Semnani Patent Examiner Art Unit 2674 July 26, 2004

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMI

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600